UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/529,177	08/15/2005	Massimo Fregonese	048790/297725 2067		
826 7 ALSTON & BIF	7590 04/25/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER			
BANK OF AME		BROWN, PETER R			
101 SOUTH TR CHARLOTTE, 1	YON STREET, SUIT NC 28280-4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3636		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	NTHS	04/25/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application I	lo.	Applicant(s)				
		10/529,177	•	FREGONESE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Peter R. Brow	<i>r</i> n	3636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS CFR 1.136(a). In no event, I ion. period will apply and will ex statute, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·					
		This action is non-	final.					
'=	· —							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic	ation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requ	irement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	_	objected to by the f	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) 🔀	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	☑ All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been re	eceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been re	eceived in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International B	· ·	, ,,					
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified	copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	18) 5)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/529,177

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the phrase "differential rigidity portions", is unclear and does not accurately set forth the invention in terms of structure and location. The same wording is utilized throughout the claims. Also in claim 1, the projections have not been sufficiently set forth in terms of their location, structure and connection to the seat, nor is it clear how the projections define the "differential rigidity portions".

Claim 8 does not structurally limit the claims in that it appears to be reciting a function of the projections. Note that claim 9 is more definite in reciting limiting language.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Losio et al.

Figures 1,2,7 show structure as claimed, including a frame having portions of different rigidity. One portion comprising projections 2' located in a recess formed

Application/Control Number: 10/529,177

Art Unit: 3636

along an outer periphery of the frame. The projections extend parallel to one another and are separated by varying distances, and exhibit a flexural and sheer strength. Note that the rear edge includes an aperture having a mesh therein, which would comprise a portion of different rigidity.

Claims 1 and 8-15 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu (US 6,739,656).

Figures 3-6 show structure as claimed, including a bicycle seat having portions of different rigidity comprising "projections" 26,27 therein, which extend within a recess formed along an outer peripheral edge of the frame. Part of the portion of different rigidity lies adjacent the rear edge of the seat, and a cover material overlies the whole seat.

Claims 2.7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Van Meter, Seaman, Jacobs, Yates et al, Hanson, Hughes, Yu ('396) and
Yates show various features of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 3636

272-6853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter R. Brown Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

prb